

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/792,228	03/03/2004	Hui Suk Park	5873.38012	4785
21000	7590 01/11/2006		EXAMINER	
DECKER, JONES, MCMACKIN, MCCLANE, HALL &			DOAN, ROBYN KIEU	
BATES, P.C. BURNETT PLAZA 2000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
801 CHERRY STREET, UNIT #46			3732	
FORT WORTH, TX 76102-6836		DATE MAILED: 01/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/792,228	PARK, HUI SUK				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robyn Doan	3732				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 October 2005</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-13 and 17-22 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-13 and 17-22 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office A	ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 006				

Application/Control Number: 10/792,228

Art Unit: 3732

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's Amendment filed 10/14/2005 has been entered and carefully considered. Claim 1 has been amended. Arguments regarding rejections 35 U.S.C 112 1st and 2nd paragraph and the 35 U.S.C 102 (b) have been found to be persuasive, therefore, those rejections have been withdrawn. However, arguments regarding the 35 U.S.C 103 (a) rejections have not been found to be persuasive, therefore, claims 1-24 are rejected under the same ground rejection as set forth in the office action mailed 06/14/2005.

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-13 and 17-24 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-13 of U.S. Patent No. 6820625. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not

patentably distinct from each other because the difference between claims 1-13 and 17-24 of the application and claims 1-13 of the patent lies in the fact that the patent claims include many more elements and thus is more specific. Thus the invention of claims 1-13 is in effect a "species" of the "generic" invention of claims 1-13 and 17-24. It has been held that the generic invention is "anticipated" by the "species". See In re Goodman, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Since claims 1-13 and 17-24 are anticipated by claims 1-13 of the patent, it is not patentably distinct from claims 1-13.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 17-19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barrington in view of Undin (4048877).

With regard to claims 17-19 and 21, Barrington discloses a method of attaching supplemental hair to natural hair comprising a heated jaw with a heating surface and a kneading jaw movably connected to the heated jaw; each of the jaws having a pivot end and a hinge connected to the pivoted ends (fig. 5). Barrington does not disclose the heating jaw having a channel and the kneading jaw having a kneading ridge, the length of the heating channel and the length of the kneading ridge being about .05 inches and

Application/Control Number: 10/792,228

Art Unit: 3732

the heating channel having a shape that matches a shape of the glue portion of the supplemental hair bundles. Undin discloses a pliers (fig. 1) for crimping electrical wires comprising a first jaw (12) having a channel (40a) recessed in an inside surface of the jaw, a kneading jaw (22) including a kneading ridge (40b) moveably connected to the first jaw. It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the pliers as taught by Undin in place of the heating tool of Barrington for the crimping purpose. And it would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct for the heating channel length and the length of the kneading ridge being about .5 inches and the shape of the heating channel matches the shape of the glue portion of the supplemental hair bundles, since such modifications would have involved a mere change in the size and the shape of the component.

With regard to claim 20, Barrington in view of Undin disclose a method of attaching supplemental hair to natural hair comprising all the claimed limitations as discussed above except for the heated jaw and the kneading jaw being coated with a material that resists sticking to thermoplastic glue. It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the heated jaw and the kneading jaw being coated with a material that resists sticking to thermoplastic glue, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice.

Application/Control Number: 10/792,228

Art Unit: 3732

In response to applicant's argument that Undin is nonanalogous art, it has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. See *In re Oetiker*, 977 F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the pliers of Undin was used to crimp the electric wires and it is noted that since the wires are in the same form of the supplemental hair strands, therefore it would produce an equivalent function.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robyn Doan whose telephone number is (571) 272-4711. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robyn Doan Examiner Art Unit 3732

> John J. Wilson Primary Examiner